Appendix B

UPDATE FORM FOR AUDIT RISK ASSESSMENTS

The following risk assessment should be completed during every audit, not all boxes will be applicable to all areas but the form should be clearly marked where this is the case.

AUDIT AREA	
FILE REFERENCE	
AUDITOR	

	RISK ELEMENT	
1	INTERNAL CONTROL QUALITY (25%) – factors to be considered as detailed	
	below	
	Segregation of duties	
	Total control over staff activities (e.g. in house development in a sizeable, well	
	established control environment, internal contractor, Mixed internal / external	
	partnership situation, Some controls effective – managed service, external staff	
	internal equipment, Almost no control, fully externalised	
	Documentation	
	Fully documented and up to date, Partial documentation but not necessarily up to	
	date, Poor/ absent documentation	
	Quality / reliability	
	Controls match risks – no problems encountered, Minor controls – ineffective,	
	Controls ineffective – no problems in the past, Controls ineffective – major	
	problems in the past	
2	CRITICALITY / MONETARY FACTORS (30%) – factors to be considered are	
	detailed below	
	Criticality	
	Insignificant impact on operational / strategic plan, Partial impact on operational /	
	strategic plan, Significant impact on operational / strategic plan	
	Expenditure	
	£0	
	£1-50,000	
	£50,000 – 250,000	
	£250,000 – 1,000,000	
	£1,000,000 – 5,000,000	
	£5,000,000+	
	Income	
	£0	
	£1-50,000	
	£50,000 - 250,000	
	£250,000 – 1,000,000	
	£1,000,000 - 5,000,000	
	Above £5,000,000	
	Stores	
	None, Below 1,000, Above 1,000	
	Transactions	
	0-1	
	1-1,000	

1,000-10,000 10,000-100,000 100,000-200,000 Above 200,000 3 ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE (15%)- factors to be considered are obletow Personnel Structure Static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover - static workforce, Low level / low number of c	
100,000-200,000 Above 200,000 3 ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE (15%)– factors to be considered are below Personnel Structure Static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – s	
Above 200,000 Servir Considered are a below Personnel Structure Static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – s	
3 ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE (15%)– factors to be considered are obletow 9 Delow 9 Personnel Structure 9 Static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce	
below Personnel Structure Static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – static workforce, Low level / low level / low number of change	
Static workforce, Low level / low number of changes, High turnover – s	staff /
	staff /
management	
Systems	
Static, Minor enhancements, New Systems	
Growth	
Static, Anticipated increase / decrease, Unexpected or very significant	t growth
A significant increase in growth is approximately 20%	
Incidence of local and national fraud	
Minimal, Significant, High	
4 COMPLEXITY (10%) – factors to be considered are detailed below	v
Systems (computer or manual)	
Simple manual or stand alone, Fully integrated / automated, Large nur separate systems	nber of
Technical (area under audit)	
Capable of audit without specialist input or training, Some training or re required to undertake audit, Detailed specialist training / input required	
5 LEGAL AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS (10%) – factors to	be
considered are detailed below	
No recent new legislation and / or no specific problems monitored in th release	ne press
One of high level regulatory requirements, grave consequences of nor	n
compliance, recent direct regulatory criticism, major new legislation	
More than one of the above occurrences	
6 POLITICAL SENSITIVITY(10%) - factors to be considered are deta	iled below
Not politically sensitive, Some political pressure group interest, Consid	lerable
interest, client sensitivity and impact of failure on other systems	